

Model selection using GAMM with MuMIn

Kamil Bartoń

October 10, 2011

1 Extending MuMIn's functionality to support gamm

This document describes how to implement the interface between the routines performing model selection and averaging in MuMIn and a class of models that is not supported by default, using `gamm` from package `mgcv` as an example. The two principal functions in MuMIn, `model.avg` and `dredge` rely on the availability of methods for several generic functions for the class of the given fitted model object. These generic functions include the ones defined in package `stats` (`logLik`, `formula`, `nobs`, and optionally `deviance` which may simply return `NULL`), as well as ones defined in MuMIn itself (`coeffs`, `getAllTerms` and `tTable`). In some cases the default methods may work as well.

In the case of `gamm` and `gamm4`, the returned object has no special class, it is a list with two items: `lme` or `mer`, and `gam` (with some information stripped from it). Therefore no specific methods can be applied.

The solution is to provide a 'wrapper' function for `gamm` that evaluates the model and adds a class attribute onto it, e.g.:

```
> gamm <- function(...) structure(c(mgcv::gamm(...), list(call = match.call())),  
+   class = c("gamm", "list"))
```

similarly for `gamm4` (but assign the same class `gamm`):

```
> gamm4 <- function(...) structure(c(gamm4::gamm4(...), list(call = match.call())),  
+   class = c("gamm", "list"))
```

As these wrappers have the same names as the actual functions, use of them is invisible for the user, and they mask the original functions on the level of `.GlobalEnv`.

In addition, these wrappers add a `call` element, containing the original call to the wrapper function. It is not necessary, but makes things easier later on for `dredge`.

Once we have an object of class `gamm`, it is possible to provide methods for it. First let us define the generic methods from `stats`.

```
> logLik.gamm <- function(object, ...) logLik(object[[if (is.null(object$lme)) "mer" else "lme"]],  
+   ...)  
> formula.gamm <- function(x, ...) formula(x$gam, ...)  
> nobs.gamm <- function(object, ...) nobs(object$gam, ...)
```

It should be noted here that the issue of what the log-likelihood for GAMM should be is not entirely clear. The documentation for `gamm` states that the log-likelihood of `lme` is not the one of the fitted GAMM. However, comparing alternative models presents some evidence that it may be still appropriate for `gamm`. Namely both the log-likelihood of fitted `lme`, and one of the `lme` part of `gamm` (including only linear terms to make the comparison adequate) have identical values.

```
> dat <- gamSim(6, n = 100, scale = 0.2, dist = "normal")

4 term additive + random effectGu & Wahba 4 term additive model

> fm1 <- gamm(y ~ x0 + x1 + x2 + x3, data = dat, random = list(fac = ~1),
+   method = "ML")
> fm2 <- lme(y ~ x0 + x1 + x2 + x3, data = dat, random = list(fac = ~1),
+   method = "ML")
> logLik(fm1$lme)

'log Lik.' -224.2712 (df=7)

> logLik(fm2)

'log Lik.' -224.2712 (df=7)
```

Likewise is in the generalised case of `gamm4` and `lmer`:

```
> dat <- gamSim(6, n = 100, scale = 0.2, dist = "poisson")

4 term additive + random effectGu & Wahba 4 term additive model

> fmg1 <- gamm4(y ~ x0 + x1 + x2 + x3, family = poisson, data = dat,
+   random = ~(1 | fac))
> fmg2 <- lmer(y ~ x0 + x1 + x2 + x3 + (1 | fac), family = poisson,
+   data = dat)
> logLik(fmg1$mer)

'log Lik.' -703.5312 (df=6)

> logLik(fmg2)

'log Lik.' -703.5312 (df=6)
```

A comparison of `gamm4` including a smooth term with fixed two degrees of freedom gives log-likelihood which is very close to that of `lmer` including a linear and quadratic term.

```
> fmg1 <- gamm4(y ~ x0 + s(x1, k = 3, fx = TRUE) + x2 + x3, family = poisson,
+   data = dat, random = ~(1 | fac))
> fmg2 <- lmer(y ~ x0 + x1 + I(x1^2) + x2 + x3 + (1 | fac), family = poisson,
+   data = dat)
> logLik(fmg1$mer)
```

```
'log Lik.' -676.0842 (df=7)
```

```
> logLik(fmgs2)
```

```
'log Lik.' -661.7715 (df=7)
```

Normally, the object returned by `gam` inherits also from `glm`, so the `nobs` method for `glm` is called, but in case of `gamm` the `gam` element has only class `gam`, so we need to define method directly (it just calls `nobs.glm`):

```
> nobs.gam <- function(object, ...) stats::nobs.glm(object, ...)
```

Methods for generic functions defined in MuMIn:

```
> coeffs.gamm <- function(model) coef(model$gam)
```

```
> getAllTerms.gamm <- function(x, ...) getAllTerms(x$gam, ...)
```

```
> tTable.gamm <- function(model, ...) tTable(model$gam, ...)
```

(the name `tTable` is somewhat misleading, as the `data.frame` returned does not need to contain *t*-values, two columns are obligatory: 'Estimate' and 'Std. Error')

2 Model selection

Now we have all the prerequisites to proceed with the model selection:

```
> set.seed(0)
```

```
> dat <- gamSim(6, n = 100, scale = 5, dist = "normal")
```

4 term additive + random effectGu & Wahba 4 term additive model

```
> fmgs2 <- gamm(y ~ 1 + s(x0) + s(x3) + s(x2), family = gaussian,  
+ data = dat, random = list(fac = ~1))
```

This model fits poorly, but this is deliberate, to justify the model averaging.

```
> head(dd2 <- dredge(fmgs2))
```

```
Global model: gamm(y ~ 1 + s(x0) + s(x3) + s(x2), family = gaussian, data = dat,  
random = list(fac = ~1))
```

Model selection table

	(Int)	s(x0)	s(x2)	s(x3)	k	AICc	delta	weight
3	16.58		+		5	662.3	0.0000	0.480
7	16.58		+	+	7	663.0	0.7499	0.330
4	16.58	+	+		7	665.2	2.9500	0.110
8	16.58	+	+	+	9	667.0	4.7160	0.045
1	16.58				3	668.3	6.0370	0.023
5	16.58			+	5	669.9	7.5870	0.011

(Note that we get quite different results using `gamm4`)

```
> summary(model.avg(dd2, subset = cumsum(weight) <= 0.95))
```

```
Call: model.avg(object = dd2, subset = cumsum(weight) <= 0.95)
```

Model summary:

	Deviance	AICc	Delta	Weight
2	662.30	0.00	0.52	
2+3	663.05	0.75	0.36	
1+2	665.25	2.95	0.12	

Variables:

1	2	3
s(x0)	s(x2)	s(x3)

Model-averaged coefficients:

	Coefficient	SE	z	value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	1.658e+01	1.737e+00	9.545	<2e-16	***
s(x0).1	1.526e-09	5.145e-05	0.000	1.000	
s(x0).2	-1.255e-09	8.062e-05	0.000	1.000	
s(x0).3	1.952e-10	1.870e-05	0.000	1.000	
s(x0).4	-8.765e-10	4.874e-05	0.000	1.000	
s(x0).5	2.019e-10	1.453e-05	0.000	1.000	
s(x0).6	-9.397e-10	4.441e-05	0.000	1.000	
s(x0).7	-3.657e-10	1.845e-05	0.000	1.000	
s(x0).8	3.821e-09	1.515e-04	0.000	1.000	
s(x0).9	8.909e-02	3.150e-01	0.283	0.777	
s(x2).1	-4.393e+00	2.983e+00	1.472	0.141	
s(x2).2	-1.208e+01	7.979e+00	1.513	0.130	
s(x2).3	-1.157e+00	2.126e+00	0.544	0.586	
s(x2).4	-2.318e+00	5.211e+00	0.445	0.656	
s(x2).5	-1.129e+00	1.606e+00	0.703	0.482	
s(x2).6	-3.211e+00	4.609e+00	0.697	0.486	
s(x2).7	-1.581e+00	1.707e+00	0.926	0.354	
s(x2).8	1.426e+01	1.169e+01	1.219	0.223	
s(x2).9	3.243e+00	4.770e+00	0.680	0.497	
s(x3).1	-1.788e-09	9.993e-05	0.000	1.000	
s(x3).2	-2.371e-09	1.393e-04	0.000	1.000	
s(x3).3	3.706e-10	3.274e-05	0.000	1.000	
s(x3).4	-2.953e-09	8.138e-05	0.000	1.000	
s(x3).5	-6.183e-10	2.068e-05	0.000	1.000	
s(x3).6	-2.878e-09	7.690e-05	0.000	1.000	
s(x3).7	1.590e-09	4.110e-05	0.000	1.000	
s(x3).8	1.526e-08	2.506e-04	0.000	1.000	

```
s(x3).9      -4.121e-01  6.524e-01   0.632   0.528
```

```
---
```

```
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
Non-present predictors taken to be zero
```

```
Relative variable importance:
```

```
s(x2) s(x3) s(x0)
1.00  0.36  0.12
```